

PUBLIC INPUT FROM 10/5/2015 PRELIMINARY SCENARIOS WORKSHOP

Interests Not Met Well in Scenario 1	
<b>Improved Visitor Experience</b>	No loop access on west side of Boulder Valley Ranch.
	Existing BVR trails are “boring” for mountain bikers. Little potential to attract visitors with existing trail system.
	Voice and Sight access lacking at Joder.
	East side trail is too boring.
	No Joder connection on west side does not improve visitor experience.
	Trail reroutes at Wonderland Lake will not improve visitor experience.
	Old Kiln Trail provides a superior experience of nature the way it is-changes will not improve visitor experience.
	No way to observe wildlife in great wildlife habitat-especially on West Beech.
<b>Improved Connectivity</b>	No connectivity west of 36 for bikes and horses.
	No connection between Joder and East Beech-weed connection.
	No connection from Lefthand to Joder.
	Rather have a connection from Wonderland to Joder than stacked loops west of 36.
	Lack of Foothills connector.
	Wants to get to Joder with higher quality connection/more scenic wants RR grade connection to prevent 500’ climb from Schooley up to Joder saddle.
<b>Conservation of Resources</b>	Joder Ranch should not be designated HCA. This was not part of the original plan.
	Moving headquarters is undesirable. It would get rid of the “ranch” cultural feel.
	East side trail connector-flat trail hard to maintain.
	Stacked loop under Hogback impacts rare tall grass prairie areas.
	Too many trails on Joder. Too much bisecting of habitat.
<b>Balance of Recreation and Resource Conservation</b>	Would prefer more recreation.
	Why trade-offs and “balance”?
	Question double loop trails at Joder because of soils and trail connector, maintenance problems/steep. Maybe switchbacks would be more sustainable.

## PUBLIC INPUT FROM 10/5/2015 PRELIMINARY SCENARIOS WORKSHOP

<b>Interests Not Met Well in Scenario 1</b>	
	No one should go to trails in West Beech/Joder area because it will introduce invasive species into high quality biodiversity habitat.-exclude pedestrians, equestrians, bikes, and dogs.
<b>Improved Access and Accessibility</b>	Farming operation could be in conflict with trailhead recreation use (and vice versa), but Longhorn Rd is not good either.
	Need to keep both BVR (Longhorn) and Lefthand (Beech) parking.
	Moving parking lot to BVR is not good because hard to get to, long, dirt road. Will take out Ag land and conservation area, increase traffic (move to Hwy 36).
	Do not move BVR headquarters because they live there. Instead move parking west on Longhorn and keep headquarters.
	Do not want anyone to have to move out of their home. Find a better area for parking.
<b>Increased Safety</b>	Not good to park on east-too much risk of tempting people to run across.
	No bikes on Old Kiln-too steep/too fast.
	Two loops on Joder and ML's bad.
	No BVR-Joder connection.
<b>Effective Planning Process and Plan Implementation</b>	Watershed invisibility has not been addressed in any scenario.

During community members' discussion of Scenario 1 the following interests were not discussed:

- Decreased Visitor Conflict
- Honoring Community Values and Commitments
- Increased Education and Understanding

PUBLIC INPUT FROM 10/5/2015 PRELIMINARY SCENARIOS WORKSHOP

Interests Not Met Well in Scenario 2	
Improved Visitor Experience	No reason for loops on Joder if we have railroad grade on Foothills Trail.
	Voice and Sight access lacking at Joder.
	Joder needs at least one loop.
	Trail reroutes at Wonderland Lake will not improve visitor experience.
	Changes will not improve visitor experience.
	Sage Trail leashed section breaks up Voice and Sight loop.
	Loops will make Joder a destination ride.
	On leash dog at Lefthand Trail reduces long hike.
	Not enough miles on Joder-should be a long trail.
	Re-route trails on BVR which are boring.
	Foothills Trail is not good. Buggy and wet.
	Allow horses, but no bikes at Joder.
Improved Connectivity	Desire for Foothills connector would be great experience with higher elevation, long connection.
	The west Joder connector should be closer to 36.
Conservation of Resources	Not as good because of long west side trail (weed vector, wildlife impacts).
	Cox house not good for this.
	Joder Ranch should not be designated HCA. This was not part of the original plan.
	Connection through Beech interrupts designated conservation area.
	Loss of resources as in Dowdy Draw due to increased lake usage.
	Moving Eagle trailhead north splits large habitat.
	Trail cuts through sensitive areas Fourmile to Joder impairs habitat for rare plants, butterflies, tall grass prairie.
	Connector trail through Beech does not equally balance interests of preservation with interest of visitors-this is one of the richest areas.
	The West Beech Trail would damage an area designated by the BVCP as very high biodiversity significance.
	Concerned about the habitat fragmentation and invasive species spread corridor then HCA and soils lack of sustainable trail.

# PUBLIC INPUT FROM 10/5/2015 PRELIMINARY SCENARIOS WORKSHOP

Interests Not Met Well in Scenario 2	
<b>Balance of Recreation and Resource Conservation</b>	HCA connector without Joder loops not worth it.
	Would prefer more recreation.
	There are flood irrigation drainage problems on BVR. It is problematic to hay irrigate and harvest on this land.
	Worried about the impacts of the multi-use Joder connector.
	Joder trails should be no-bikes trails and habitat with springs and shrubs-need habitat conserved.
<b>Improved Access and Accessibility</b>	Why the removal of BVR trails?
	Why no connector east to Lefthand Trail.
	Need to increase use in BVR.
	Bike access taken away on Hidden Valley Trail.
	Parking lot is better south of current Eagle parking lot.
	Wants Joder loop back because of visitor experience and connectivity.
	Joder should have a loop.
	Wonderland Lake needs a wider loop added because need west side view and visitor experience.
<b>Increased Safety</b>	HCA conflict with ML habitat.
<b>Decreased Visitor Conflict</b>	This will increase problems with equestrians.

During community members' discussion of Scenario 2 the following interests were not discussed:

- Honoring Community Values and Commitments
- Increased Education and Understanding
- Effective Planning Process and Plan Implementation

PUBLIC INPUT FROM 10/5/2015 PRELIMINARY SCENARIOS WORKSHOP

Interests Not Met Well in Scenario 3	
Improved Visitor Experience	Overkill-do not need this many trails (like on Joder).
	Voice and Sight access lacking at Joder.
	Red and purple lands have unknown trail potential.
	Trail reroutes at Wonderland Lake will not improve visitor experience.
	Changes will not improve visitor experience.
	Horses in Wonderland area negatively impacts heavily used area by other groups.
	Alternating days for trail use because it is hard to keep track frustrating.
	Steep Joder connector is not a pleasant visitor experience.
Improved Connectivity	West connector eliminated in place of stacked loops at interim Joder.
	No connection west of 36.
	Stratton, DeLuca, Campbell not connected.
	BVR needs to connect to Axelson and Stratton.
	No good connector west of 36.
	Missing Foothills connector.
	Do not like loss of Joder connector.
	Loss of Joder connector no good.
	East side Joder connector is not good because it makes trail up to Joder saddle 500' too steep.
Conservation of Resources	No need for loops on Joder. Hard to sustain, maintain and too much fragmentation.
	Joder Ranch should not be designated HCA. This was not part of the original plan.
	Too many loops in Joder also depends on specific routes.
	Stacked loops at Joder impair tall grass area and natural habitat, riparian habitat, and shrub nesting birds.
	Trails breaking up areas of habitat and conservation-less trails or perimeter trails.
	Too many trails on Joder-no trails on wetlands.
	Want more conservation for Joder property with only hiking and horses, not bikes.
Balance of Recreation and Resource	Would prefer more recreation.

## PUBLIC INPUT FROM 10/5/2015 PRELIMINARY SCENARIOS WORKSHOP

<b>Interests Not Met Well in Scenario 3</b>	
<b>Conservation</b>	
<b>Improved Access and Accessibility</b>	Sage and Foothills too far away-hopefully combine.
	Not enough BVR trails.
	Trail north of Boulder reservoir is different for unknown reasons.
	Not a stacked loop experience for bicycles in BVR.
	Like trail plan, but singles out/excludes only bikers-alternating days are better.
	Too many loops at Joder.
	Prefer parking on west side at Joder only (not Schooley).
	Wants Joder interim trail redesigned to make more visitor friendly and more of a winter use area to conserve habitat.
<b>Increased Safety</b>	Too many loops is an issue for safety.
	Likes the US 36 connector to Joder, but needs to be redesigned to be more visitor friendly/attractive to visitors on Joder and leaves the west side of 36 unfragmented.
<b>Decreased Visitor Conflict</b>	Relocation of the BVR trailhead-too much congestion and conflict-too many people using same access point.
<b>Effective Planning Process and Plan Implementation</b>	Wonderland Lake area trails confusing in all scenarios.

During community members' discussion of Scenario 3 the following interests were not discussed:

- Honoring Community Values and Commitments
- Increased Education and Understanding

PUBLIC INPUT FROM 10/5/2015 PRELIMINARY SCENARIOS WORKSHOP

Interests Not Met Well in Scenario 4	
Improved Visitor Experience	Voice and Sight access lacking at Joder.
	North Rim Trail goes nowhere. Follows property line straight.
	Trail reroutes at Wonderland Lake will not improve visitor experience.
	Changes will not improve visitor experience.
	Bikes and horses on the west Joder connector degrade pedestrian experience.
	Bike loops on Joder are less of a destination ride-nice to be rideable from boulder.
	Visitor experience would be improved if bikes not allowed one or two days per week.
	No need to have no-bike trails in BVR area.
	Do not like the North Rim Trail-straight and follows property line and wetness may not be good for visitor experience.
Improved Connectivity	Don't close Brubaker and Stratton.
Conservation of Resources	If west rail road trail is built there's no need for loops on Joder.
	One loop on Joder is ok-could we have temporal use?
	Need to reconcile multi-use to avoid multiple trails.
	Joder Ranch should not be designated HCA. This was not part of the original plan.
	Object to west trail through HCA.
	West Joder connector impairs unfragmented habitat-bells twin pod, butterflies.
	The Joder connector fragments habitat and introduces a corridor for invasive species into a biodiverse, important area.
Balance of Recreation and Resource Conservation	West trail bad for balance.
	Connector trail bisecting Beech is destructive to habitat. Does not balance strong agreement to above BVCP says "This is of high biodiversity significance" should not be disturbed.
	Would prefer more recreation.
	Concerned about seasonal changes at Joder and West Joder connector. Can nesting season be avoided and managed so hikers can avoid bikers and vice versa.

## PUBLIC INPUT FROM 10/5/2015 PRELIMINARY SCENARIOS WORKSHOP

<b>Interests Not Met Well in Scenario 4</b>	
<b>Improved Access and Accessibility</b>	BVR parking plan looks bad because Foothills trailhead is too far.
	Can we get parking on McGuckins property.
	Multiple loops might be ok.
	Trailheads are an improvement.
	Leashed dog regulation decreases access for Gunbarrel residence Voice and Sight.
	Too great a reduction in trails south end of BVR.
	Need western loop at Wonderland Lake for Old Kiln (on this and other scenarios).
<b>Decreased Visitor Conflict</b>	Relocation of the BVR trailhead-too much congestion and conflict-too many people using same access point.
	Prefer the Joder connector not be multi-use. Start small.
	Need alternating days for use at Joder because bikes push out other visitors.

During community members' discussion of Scenario 4 the following interests were not discussed:

- Increased Safety
- Honoring Community Values and Commitments
- Increased Education and Understanding
- Effective Planning Process and Plan Implementation